Steve Walker



February 2022.

To: Department of Local Government WA.

RE: Local Government Proposed Reforms Consultation 2022.

Hello Department of Local Government Western Australia.

In addition to the 31 page Reform summary file (my comments with).

From the Fact Pages:

1. Earlier intervention, effective regulation and stronger penalties. *Problems, disputes and dysfunction within local government impacts upon ratepayers, local businesses, and local government services,* **And people.** (Thanks for the snub)

Video recording of Ordinary Council Meetings should be compulsory.

Other Amendments.

WA State Minister for Local Government needs to be able to overrule local governmental authorities.

2. Reducing red tape, increasing consistency and simplicity. Red tape area been overhyped by local governments and WA State Government.

Concerned of paths being obstructed by signs. Annoying.

ongoing innovations by local governments, and initiatives by the Small Business Development Corporation.

You know some small businesses are merely a migration (vehicle), and not needed. Everyone wants to run a cafe, etc. Then they want to influence/bend local government authority decisions to it, such as bus routes. Especially outside of inner-city areas.

Crossovers.

What if local roads increase in importance for Public Transport Authority of WA? They need to reassess. Local roads mean 'non-MRWA' controlled roads.

3. Greater transparency and accountability

During the COVID-19 pandemic, councils across the State demonstrated how online engagement can bolster public participation in local government decision-making. Online engagement? Dubious on all of this, plus don't believe public participation increased during Covid-19 pandemic. In fact it did the opposite. Thoroughly disagree with that statement of yours.

4. Stronger local democracy and community engagement.

Community Engagement Charter. Has failed before, therefore don't assume one will fix the problems. Often it is a smokescreen to fool the State Government.

One way to sideline the public, and ignore their efforts, is by Chief Executive Officer's to say that *Council was consulted, they are the elected representatives, their input has been included, etc.* This is excuse can/has been used by *Executive Directors* as well in response to queries on *important Council Agenda Items* (before Item discussed).

Recommend therefore for CEO's and Staff to stop using "Council" as an excuse to claim consultation was met. Completely ignoring public and bypassing the public.

Indigenous Australian inclusion. Not merely recognize, but give them a seat at the decision-making table.

Possibly your recognition (at the very least –if it counts for anything) will be the first step in getting them a guaranteed seat in the (near)future.

What is the point of audio or video *Council Meetings* when persons who attend those meetings do not get to properly deliver their Deputations?

Case in point.

February 2022 *Special Council Meeting* called. Unnecessary as only two pubic attend, and *Agenda items* are whizzed through.

Anyway, one of the *items* was finalization of the draft *reviewed Strategic Community Plan*. Was the only person who gave a written submission in the awkward December 2021/January 2022 consultation period.

There were no changes to the *Draft Plan* approved for advertising by *City of Canning Council* in December 2021. The *Special Council Item* was content brief. It did not anywhere state that glaring fact mentioned.

Only submitter effort was not included as an *attachment*, and barely few things answered in a brief *officer response table*.

13 pages takes effort, and questions & queries within deserved answering. Note: I was saying of the need to change the draft plan and improve it.

Hurry to pull together a Deputation. Asked for extension of time when starting the verbal deputation. Interrupted unnecessarily during the deputation. Unable, prevented from saying the full deputation. Denied from.

Mayor says/asks for possibly myself to provide a share a copy of the Deputation with *Council Members* (after of course).

Therefore why does audio recordings of *Council Meetings* matter when the informed public (who try, put effort in, sacrifice for public comment) do not get to say out loud their information, their ideas, their recommendations? The public cannot hear it, the public cannot see it. Remember: If the public submitter effort was attached to the *Agenda Papers*, they could see it. If the Deputation Speech was attached to the *Official Meeting Minutes*, they could see it. I can definitely say the Deputation Speech would have been far less spoken time if I didn't have to verbal state parts of my submitter effort.

Why hasn't the WA State Government solved that problem yet?

One submitter, one Deputation, thwarted by a February 2022 Special Council Meeting.

1. Answer public submissions to important public consultation items.

2. Include full submissions (unless stated) in Agenda Paper Attachments and/or Table of Submitters.

3. Answer the public questions stated at the *Agenda Briefing Meeting* and *Ordinary Council Meeting (and Special Council Meeting)*. Note: Usually they end up being questions that were unanswered in the submitter effort. If only they were answered the first time around, then you would not have needed to front up to ask questions.

4. Do not reply on generalized, simple, substandard, or multi-choice surveys OVER real public submitter effort. Still surprised by how simplistic survey responses override my details of information and effort. Take on the good advice.

5. Adequate consultation time, and appropriate time, and methods.

Other problems in local government sector that need reform, fix:

Never fully explained annual budget decision-making to the public. Some other local governments try partly to do community budget preparations to at least share/include/educate the public of the process. Clearly too progressive for anything along those lines for other metro local governments.

Ward based, divisive, deal making.

As seen and as some *council members* have said in recent years –when organsiational funds are saved, one or two *Council Members* already (did they have the inside word from the organization days earlier?) have something to pull out of their file to be spent on. Rushed decision-making. Last minute changes that many times the *Council* or many times the organization is unprepared for. Impulsive, rushed, un-strategic. On-the-run decision-making with an evolving budget. Timetables eerily line up with WA State Government or Australian Federal Government hypotheticals.

Why not speak to public before throwing in spending pitches.

Plus on *Council* votes at Official Meetings: Often are decisions made to tie in with future mayor tilts, or future deputy mayor tilts, or *Member or Parliament* tilts.

Reduction in sitting fees, payments, allowances for Council Members.

Why? Previous *WA State Government* increased the remunerations in late 2014 to cover for the reduction in *metropolitan local governments*, reduction in CEO's, *Mayors*, and *Council Members*. Yet in February 2015 this was put on hold, and no reduction in numbers occurred. Yet the new pay levels continued.

Therefore some of those financial increases should be wound back.

It is an insult to the public, and unfair.

Add to that the lobby groups out there asking for superannuation, and increases in sitting fees, allowances. Time to hold off the large allowances, sitting fees, until a sensible reform of local governmental boundaries occur. A sensible redraw.

Candidates for Local Government Elections must state:

Political Membership of major *State/Federal political parties*.

Political Involvement –if they were a prior candidate for any *State/Federal Election*. If they were a campaign manager of any recent State/Federal party political candidate/ or current sitting *Member of Parliament*.

If they were a political powerbroker.

Told you that years ago, not a new idea.

Thankyou for the opportunity to provide input, please take on the advice and continue forward with improved reforms.

Regards Steve Walker.



Local Government Reform – Summary of Proposed Reforms



Local government benefits all Western Australians. It is critical that local government works with:

- a culture of openness to innovation and change
- continuous focus on the effective delivery of services
- respectful and constructive policy debate and democratic decision-making
- an environment of transparency and accountability to ensure effective public engagement on important community decisions.

Since first coming to office in 2017, the McGowan Government has already progressed reforms to improve specific aspects of local government performance. This includes new laws that work to improve transparency, cut red tape, and support jobs growth and economic development - ensuring that local government works for the benefit of local communities.

Based on the significant volume of research and consultation undertaken over the past five years, the Minister for Local Government has now announced the most significant package of major reforms to local government in Western Australia since the Local Government Act 1995 was passed more than 25 years ago. The package is based on six major themes:

- 1. Earlier intervention, effective regulation and stronger penalties
- 2. Reducing red tape, increasing consistency and simplicity
- 3. Greater transparency and accountability
- 4. Stronger local democracy and community engagement
- 5. Clear roles and responsibilities
- 6. Improved financial management and reporting.

A large focus on the new reform is oversight and intervention where there are significant problems arising within a local government. The introduction of new intermediate powers for intervention will increase the number of tools available to more quickly address problems and dysfunction within local governments. The proposed system for early intervention has been developed based on similar legislation in place in other jurisdictions, including Victoria and Queensland.

This will deliver significant benefits for small business, residents and ratepayers, industry, elected members and professionals working in the sector.

Local Government Reforms

These reforms are based on extensive consultation undertaken over the last five years, and have been developed considering:

- The Local Government Review Panel Final Report (mid 2020)
- The City of Perth Inquiry Report (mid 2020)
- Department of Local Government, Sport and Cultural Industries (DLGSC) consultation on Act Reform (2017-2020)
- The Victorian Local Government Act 2020 and other State Acts
- The Parliament's Select Committee Report into Local Government (late 2020)
- Western Australian Local Government Association (WALGA) Submissions
- Direct engagement with local governments
- Correspondence and complaints
- Miscellaneous past reports.

Consultation

Comments on these proposed reforms are invited. Comments can be made against each proposed reform in this document. For details on how to make a submission, please visit <u>www.dlgsc.wa.gov.au/lgactreform</u>.

Theme 1: Early Intervention, Effective Regulation and Stronger Penalties

CURRENT PROVISIONS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
1.1 Early Intervention Powers		
 The Act provides the means to regulate the conduct of local government staff and council members and sets out powers to scrutinise the affairs of local government. The Act provides certain limited powers to: Suspend or dismiss councils Appoint Commissioners Suspend or, order remedial action (such as training) for individual councillors. The Act also provides the Director General with the power to: Conduct Authorised Inquiries Refer allegations of serious or recurrent breaches to the State Administrative Tribunal Commence prosecution for an offence under the Act. Authorised Inquiries are a costly and a relatively slow response to significant issues. Authorised Inquiries are currently the only significant tool for addressing significant issues within a local government. The Panel Report, City of Perth Inquiry, and the Select Committee Report made various recommendations related to the establishment of a specific office for local government oversight. 	 Government (the Inspector), supported by an Office of the Local Government Inspector (the Inspectorate). The Inspector would receive minor and serious complaints about elected members. The Inspector would oversee complaints relating to local government CEOs. 	Yes. Yes. Yes. Minister receive too. What of complaints regarding local government staff. Should include. CEO's often ignore them from public. Yes. Why not major penalties? Should include power to. Yes.

CURRENT PROVISIONS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
	 Penalties for breaches to the Local Government Act and Regulations will be reviewed and are proposed to be generally strengthened (see item 1.4). These reforms would be supported by new powers to more resolve issues within local government (see items 1.5 and 1.6). 	Yes. Yes quickly.
1.2 Local Government Monitors		
 There are currently no legislative powers for the provision of monitors/ temporary advisors. The DLGSC provides support and advice to local governments, however there is no existing mechanism for pre-qualified, specialised assistance to manage complex cases. 	 A panel of Local Government Monitors would be established. Monitors could be appointed by the Inspector to go into a local government and try to resolve problems. The purpose of Monitors would be to proactively fix problems, rather than to identify blame or collect evidence. Monitors would be qualified specialists, such as: Experienced and respected former Mayors, Presidents, and CEOs - to act as mentors and facilitators Dispute resolution experts - to address the breakdown of professional working relationships Certified Practicing Accountants and other financial specialists - to assist with financial management and reporting issues Governance specialists and lawyers - to assist councils resolve legal issues HR and procurement experts - to help with processes like recruiting a CEO or undertaking a major land transaction. 	Yes. Yes. Sounds lame. They might need to get stuff done. Therefore identify blame. Urge caution on this. Exclusive clubs have exclusive/narrow memberships. Some in the 'fraternity' cover for the fraternity'. Friendships among this wealthy group of club members are common.

CURRENT PROVISIONS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
	 Only the Inspector would have the power to appoint Monitors. Local governments would be able to make requests to the Inspector to appoint Monitors for a specific purpose. 	Yes.
	Monitor Case Study 1 – Financial Management	
	The Inspector receives information that a local government is not collecting rates correctly under the <i>Local Government Act</i> <i>1995</i> . Upon initial review, the Inspector identifies that there may be a problem. The Inspector appoints a Monitor who specialises in financial management in local government. The Monitor visits the local government and identifies that the system used to manage rates is not correctly issuing rates notices. The Monitor works with the local government to rectify the error, and issue corrections to impacted ratepayers.	Yes.
	Monitor Case Study 2 – Dispute Resolution	
	The Inspector receives a complaint from one councillor that another councillor is repeatedly publishing derogatory personal attacks against another councillor on social media, and that the issue has not been able to be resolved at the local government level. The Inspector identifies that there has been a relationship breakdown between the two councillors due to a disagreement on council.	
	The Inspector appoints a Monitor to host mediation sessions between the councillors. The Monitor works with the councillors to address the dispute. Through regular meetings, the councillors agree to a working relationship based on the council's code of conduct. After the mediation, the Monitor occasionally makes contact with both councillors to ensure there is a cordial working relationship between the councillors.	Iffy. Don't punish the Council Member in the right. ie. Why force them into weeks of regular meetings (in their own time) for something they did not cause.

CURRENT PROVISIONS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
 The Local Government Standards Panel was established in 2007 to resolve minor breach complaints relatively quickly and provide the sector with guidance and benchmarks about acceptable standards of behaviour. Currently, the Panel makes findings about alleged breaches based on written submissions. The City of Perth Inquiry report made various recommendations that functions of the Local Government Standards Panel be reformed. 	 The Standards Panel is proposed to be replaced with a new Local Government Conduct Panel. The Conduct Panel would be comprised of suitably qualified and experienced professionals. Sitting councillors will not be eligible to serve on the Conduct Panel. The Inspector would provide evidence to the Conduct Panel for adjudication. The Conduct Panel would have powers to impose stronger penalties – potentially including being able to suspend councillors for up to three months, with an appeal mechanism. For very serious or repeated breaches of the Local Government Act, the Conduct Panel would have the power to recommend prosecution through the courts. Any person who is subject to a complaint before the Conduct Panel before the Panel makes a decision. 	Ok with. No sitting Councillors on it. Possibly a suspension of over 3 months is needed.
1.4 Review of Penalties		
There are currently limited penalties in the Act for certain types of non-compliance with the Local Government Act.	 Penalties for breaching the Local Government Act are proposed to be strengthened. It is proposed that the suspension of councillors (for up to three months) is established as the main penalty where a councillor breaches the Local Government Act or Regulations on more than one occasion. Councillors who are disqualified would not be eligible for sitting fees or allowances. They will also not be able to attend meetings, or use their official office (such as their title or council email address). It is proposed that a councillor who is suspended multiple times may become disqualified from office. 	Yes. Punish for more months. 3 months is too weak. Yes Yes. Possibility.

CURRENT PROVISIONS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
	• Councillors who do not complete mandatory training within a certain timeframe will also not be able to receive sitting fees or allowances.	Ok with.
1.5 Rapid Red Card Resolutions		
 Currently, local governments have different local laws and standing orders that govern the way meetings run. Presiding members (Mayors and Presidents) are reliant on the powers provided in the local government standing orders local laws. Differences between local governments is a source of confusion about the powers that presiding members have to deal with disruptive behaviours at council meetings. Disruptive behaviour at council meetings is a very common cause of complaints. Having the Presiding Member be able to deal with these problems should more quickly resolve problems that occur at council meetings. 	across Western Australia (see item 2.6). Published recordings of all meetings would also become standard (item 3.1).	More consistency is needed, For the sake of the public. Published recordings need improvement. Interrupt. Yes. Yes if reasonable. Yes. Yes. Yes.
1.6 Vexatious Complaint Referrals		

CURRENT PROVISIONS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
 No current provisions. The Act already provides a requirement for Public Question Time at council meetings. 	 Local governments already have a general responsibility to provide ratepayers and members of the public with assistance in responding to queries about the local government's operations. Local governments should resolve queries and complaints in a respectful, transparent and equitable manner. Unfortunately, local government resources can become unreasonably diverted when a person makes repeated vexatious queries, especially after a local government has already provided a substantial response to the person's query. It is proposed that if a person makes repeated complaints to a local government CEO that are vexatious, the CEO will have the power to refer that person's complaints to the Inspectorate, which after assessment of the facts may then rule the complaint vexatious. 	Transparent and respectful. Are you sure it is 'substantial'. I've seen these reasons misused by local governments. I have never made vexatious complaints to any local government. If the CEO wants to refer complaints to Inspectorate, Ok with that. The public is relying on the quality of the Inspectorate.
1.7 Minor Other Reforms		
 Other minor reforms are being considered to enhance the oversight of local government. Ministerial Circulars have traditionally been used to provide guidance to the local government sector. 	 Potential other reforms to strengthen guidance for local governments are being considered. For example, one option being considered is the potential use of sector-wide guidance notices. Guidance notices could be published by the Minister or Inspector, to give specific direction for how local governments should meet the requirements of the Local Government Act and Regulations. For instance, the Minister could publish guidance notices to 	

CURRENT PROVISIONS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
	 clarify the process for how potential conflicts of interests should be managed. It is also proposed (see item 1.1) that the Inspector has the power to issue notices to individual local governments to require them to rectify non-compliance with the Act or Regulations. 	Yes.

Theme 2: Reducing Red Tape, Increasing Consistency and Simplicity

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
2.1 Resource Sharing		
 The Act does not currently include specific provisions to allow for certain types of resource sharing – especially for sharing CEOs. Regional local governments would benefit from having clearer mechanisms for voluntary resource-sharing. 	 Amendments are proposed to encourage and enable local governments, especially smaller regional local governments, to share resources, including Chief Executive Officers and senior employees. Local governments in bands 2, 3 or 4 would be able to appoint a shared CEO at up to two salary bands above the highest band. For example, a band 3 and a band 4 council sharing a CEO could remunerate to the level of band 1. 	Yes. Especially great idea for smaller regional local governments. Possible, if reasonable.
2.2 Standardisation of Crossovers		
 Approvals and standards for crossovers (the section of driveways that run between the kerb and private property) are inconsistent between local government areas, often with very minor differences. This can create confusion and complexity for homeowners and small businesses in the construction sector. 	 It is proposed to amend the Local Government (Uniform Local Provisions) Regulations 1996 to standardise the process for approving crossovers for residential properties and residential developments on local roads. 	Not sure. Worry this is 'investor- driven' and their disregard for the street is only matched by the construction industry who shove anything in, anywhere for fast money. The more money involved leads to more ignorance. Department of MainRoadsWA should be trusted when refusing certain proposed access crossovers. Public Transit Authority of WA should also be trusted if they want refuse, due to popular existing or future bus routes of importance. That has implications for local roads.

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
	 A Crossover Working Group has provided preliminary advice to the Minister and DLGSC to inform this. The DLGSC will work with the sector to develop standardised design and construction standards. 	Caution on this. Worry too many crossovers, plus ultra-wide crossovers to occur, denying verge space for soil, trees, etc.
2.3 Introduce Innovation Provisions		
The Local Government Act 1995 currently has very limited provisions to allow for innovations and responses to emergencies to (such as the Shire of Bruce Rock Supermarket).	 New provisions are proposed to allow exemptions from certain requirements of the <i>Local Government Act 1995</i>, for: Short-term trials and pilot projects Urgent responses to emergencies. 	Possible. Depending on the type of 'pilot project'. Yes for emergencies –floods, fire, etc.
2.4 Streamline Local Laws		
 Local laws are required to be reviewed every eight years. The review of local laws (especially when they are standard) has been identified as a burden for the sector. Inconsistency between local laws is frustrating for residents and business stakeholders. 	 by the local government every 15 years. Local laws not reviewed in the timeframe would lapse, meaning that old laws will be automatically removed and no longer applicable. 	Too far. Unsure. As long as the model law is not from WALGA. Wouldn't reduce the timeframe for advertising. Common-sense approach to apply.

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
2.5 Simplifying Approvals for Small Business and Community Events		
 Inconsistency between local laws and approvals processes for events, street activation, and initiatives by local businesses is frustrating for business and local communities. 	 Proposed reforms would introduce greater consistency for approvals for: alfresco and outdoor dining minor small business signage rules running community events. 	Worry that alfresco and outdoor dining is countering clear unobstructed access to pathways. People don't want to be walking into tables and signs. Stop over- sacrificing wide pathways that took years to implement.
2.6 Standardised Meeting Procedures, Includ	ing Public Question Time	
 Local governments currently prepare individual standing order local laws. The Local Government Act 1995 and regulations require local governments to allocate time at meetings for questions from the public. Inconsistency among the meeting procedures between local governments is a common source of complaints. 	 To provide greater clarity for ratepayers and applicants for decisions made by council, it is proposed that the meeting procedures and standing orders for all local government meetings, including for public question time, are standardised across the State. Regulations would introduce standard requirements for public question time, and the procedures for meetings generally. 	Yes for public question time, and approval of unofficial Minutes, and for Deputations. Yes. Members of the public want their questions accurately asked, accurately reported, and properly answered. The answers should not be brushed off, or ignored by Staff or Council Members.
	• • • • • • • • •	Members of the public also often want their full deputation or a summary of their full deputation, included in the Minutes of the Ordinary Council Meeting. Businesses, lobby groups, Associations have got it (all financially motivated mind you. Some begging for funding), YET I

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
	• • • •	have been denied several times. Supplied the Deputation or a summarised Deputation YET it was never included/attached to the future Official Minutes.
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	If only a WA Minister for Local Government would amend that AND include my efforts –I have asked that.
	 Members of the public across all local governments would have the same opportunities to address council and ask questions. 	I put the extra effort and time in, YET Council Members snubbed it, AND local government staff were only too happy to blame Council Members for approving their Ordinary Council Meeting Minutes. Yes.
2.7 Regional Subsidiaries		

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
 Initiatives by multiple local governments may be managed through formal Regional Councils, or through less formal "organisations of councils", such as NEWROC and WESROC. 	 Work is continuing to consider how Regional Subsidiaries can be best established to: Enable Regional Subsidiaries to provide a clear and defined public benefit for people within member local governments 	Agree.
 These initiatives typically have to be managed by a lead local government. In 2016-17, provisions were introduced to allow for the formation of Regional 	 Provide for flexibility and innovation while ensuring appropriate transparency and accountability of ratepayer funds Where appropriate, facilitate financing of initiatives by 	
Subsidiaries. Regional Subsidiaries can be formed in line with the Local Government (Regional Subsidiaries) Regulations 2017.	 Regional Subsidiaries within a reasonable and defined limit of risk Ensure all employees of a Regional Subsidiary have the 	Agree.
 So far, no Regional Subsidiary has been formed. 	same employment conditions as those directly employed by member local governments.	Unsure. They need to be reach of the Inspector and State Government Department.

Theme 3: Greater Transparency & Accountability

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS	
3.1 Recordings and Live-Streaming of All Co	3.1 Recordings and Live-Streaming of All Council Meetings		
 Currently, local governments are only required to make written minutes of meetings. While there is no legal requirement for livestreaming or video or audio recording of council meetings, many local governments now stream and record their meetings. Complaints relating to behaviours and decisions at meetings constitute a large proportion of complaints about local governments. Local governments are divided into bands with the largest falling in bands 1 and 2, and smaller local governments falling bands 3 and 4. The allocation of local governments into bands is determined by The Salaries and Allowances Tribunal based on factors¹ such as: Growth and development Strategic planning issues Demands and diversity of services provided to the community Total expenditure Population Staffing levels. 	 It is proposed that all local governments will be required to record meetings. Band 1 and 2 local governments would be required to livestream meetings, and make video recordings available as public archives. Band 1 and 2 are larger local governments are generally located in larger urban areas, with generally very good telecommunications infrastructure, and many already have audio-visual equipment. Band 1 and 2 local governments would be required to livestream meetings, and make video recordings available as public archives. Band 1 and 2 local governments would be required to livestream meetings, and make video recordings available as public archives. Several local governments already use platforms such as YouTube, Microsoft Teams, and Vimeo to stream and publish meeting recordings. Limited exceptions would be made for meetings held outside the ordinary council chambers, where audio recordings may be used. Recognising their generally smaller scale, typically smaller operating budget, and potential to be in more remote locations, band 3 and 4 local governments would be required to record and publish audio recordings, at a minimum. These local governments would still be encouraged to livestream or video record meetings. All council meeting recordings would need to be published at the same time as the meeting minutes. Recordings of all 	Support for Ordinary Council Meetings, and Special Council Meetings. YES. Video needed. Yes. Caution here. Yes.	

¹ See page 3 of the <u>2018 Salaries and Allowance Tribunal Determination</u>

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
	confidential items would also need to be submitted to the DLGSC for archiving.	
3.2 Recording All Votes in Council Minutes		
 A local government is only required to record which councillor voted for or against a motion in the minutes of that meeting if a request is made by an elected member at the time of the resolution during the meeting. The existing provision does not mandate transparency. 	 To support the transparency of decision-making by councillors, it is proposed that the individual votes cast by all councillors for all council resolutions would be required to be published in the council minutes, and identify those for, against, on leave, absent or who left the chamber. Regulations would prescribe how votes are to be consistently minuted. 	Yes. Yes.
3.3 Clearer Guidance for Meeting Items that n	nay be Confidential	
 The Act currently provides broad definitions of what type of matters may be discussed as a confidential item. There is limited potential for review of issues managed as confidential items under the current legislation. 	decision-making, it is considered that confidential meetings and confidential meeting items should only be used in limited, specific circumstances.	Yes. Yes. Yes.
3.4 Additional Online Registers		
Local governments are required to provide information to the community through annual reports, council minutes and the publication of information online.	 It is proposed to require local governments to report specific information in online registers on the local government's website. Regulations would prescribe the information to be included. 	

 Consistent online publication of information can substitute for certain material in annual reports. Consistency in online reporting across the sector will provide ratepayers with better information. These registers supplement the simplification of financial statements in Theme 6. Lease Register to capture information about the leases the local government is party to (either as lessor or lessee) Community Grants Register to outline all grants and funding provided by the local government Interests Disclosure Register which collates all disclosures made by elected members about their interests related to matters considered by council Applicant Contribution Register accounting for funds collected from applicant contributions, such as cash-in-lieu for public open space and car parking Contracts Register that discloses all contracts above \$100,000. St Chief Executive Officer Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) be Published It is a requirement of the Local Government Act 1995 that CEO performance reviews are conducted annually. The Model Standards for CEO recruitment must review the performance of the CEO performance review and termination require that a local government must review the performance retreira. Additional performance review by agreement between both parties. 	CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
 It is a requirement of the <i>Local Government Act 1995</i> that CEO performance reviews are conducted annually. The Model Standards for CEO recruitment and selection, performance review and termination require that a local government must review the performance of the CEO against contractual performance criteria. Additional performance review by agreement between both parties. To provide for minimum transparency, it is proposed to mandate that the KPIs agreed as performance metrics for CEOs: Be published in council meeting minutes as soon as they are agreed prior to (before the start of the annual period) The KPIs and the results be published in the minutes of the performance review meeting (at the end of the period) The CEO has a right to provide written comments to be published alongside the KPIs and results to provide to for performance review by agreement between both parties. 	 can substitute for certain material in annual reports. Consistency in online reporting across the sector will provide ratepayers with better information. These registers supplement the simplification of financial statements in 	 Lease Register to capture information about the leases the local government is party to (either as lessor or lessee) Community Grants Register to outline all grants and funding provided by the local government Interests Disclosure Register which collates all disclosures made by elected members about their interests related to matters considered by council Applicant Contribution Register accounting for funds collected from applicant contributions, such as cash-inlieu for public open space and car parking Contracts Register that discloses all contracts above 	register before it is changed. How/where able to do that? Yes. Yes. Yes.
 Local Government Act 1995 that CEO performance reviews are conducted annually. The Model Standards for CEO recruitment and selection, performance review and termination require that a local government must review the performance of the CEO against contractual performance criteria. Additional performance criteria can be used for performance review by agreement between both parties. Be published in council meeting minutes as soon as they are agreed prior to (before the start of the annual period) The KPIs and the results be published in the minutes of the performance review meeting (at the end of the period) The CEO has a right to provide written comments to be published alongside the KPIs and results to provide context as may be appropriate (for instance, the impact of events in that year that may have influenced the results against KPIs). 	3.5 Chief Executive Officer Key Performance	Indicators (KPIs) be Published	
	 Local Government Act 1995 that CEO performance reviews are conducted annually. The Model Standards for CEO recruitment and selection, performance review and termination require that a local government must review the performance of the CEO against contractual performance criteria. Additional performance criteria can be used for performance review by agreement 	 mandate that the KPIs agreed as performance metrics for CEOs: Be published in council meeting minutes as soon as they are agreed prior to (before the start of the annual period) The KPIs and the results be published in the minutes of the performance review meeting (at the end of the period) The CEO has a right to provide written comments to be published alongside the KPIs and results to provide context as may be appropriate (for instance, the impact of events in that year that may have influenced the 	Yes.

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
		KPI's of the Executive Team, Divisional Directors, needs to be published as well. Those KPI's need naming –not interested in their performance, want to know what KPI's they have been incentivised to do (to meet their expensive annual salaried contract). Too often their focus has remained on those measures/KPI's instead of what the metro local government really needs done.

Theme 4: Stronger Local Democracy and Community Engagement

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
4.1 Community and Stakeholder Engagement	Charters	
 There is currently no requirement for local governments to have a specific engagement charter or policy. Many local governments have introduced charters or policies for how they will engage with their community. 	 governments to prepare a community and stakeholder engagement charter which sets out how local government will communicate processes and decisions with their community. A model Charter would be published to assist local 	Some have already done before, and updated them. Yet they still fail on to the public/community. Yes.
 Other States have introduced a specific requirement for engagement charters. 	governments who wish to adopt a standard form.	
4.2 Ratepayer Satisfaction Surveys (Band 1 a	nd 2 local governments only)	
 Many local governments already commission independent surveying consultants to hold a satisfaction survey of residents/ratepayers. These surveys provide valuable data on the performance of local governments. 	 It is proposed to introduce a requirement that every four years, all local governments in bands 1 and 2 hold an independently-managed ratepayer satisfaction survey. Results would be required to be reported publicly at a council meeting and published on the local government's website. 	Caution, don't believe it provides 'valuable data' often. Often popular answers (usually by the multi- choice set options), are confused for priority by local governments afterwards.
		Several companies have made a business of doing these surveys each year (Catalyse, Markyt) and get a nice commission annually from many local governments clients. Their surveys are substandard, AND often lead to crap results. There is very limited 'valuable data'.
	 All local governments would be required to publish a response to the results. 	If you do, then publish.

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
 The current voting method for local government elections is first past the post. The existing first-past-the-post does not allow for electors to express more than one 	•	What if the candidates are from the same political party? This is the problem that can result from this method.
 preference. The candidate with the most votes wins, even if that candidate does not have a 	Preferential voting is proposed be adopted as the method to replace the current first past the post system in local government elections.	No.
 Preferential voting better captures the precise intentions of voters and as a result may be regarded as a fairer and more representative system. Voters have more specific choice. 	 In preferential voting, voters number candidates in order of their preferences. 	This should not be considered, until candidate information regarding whether they are a Member of a major Political Party, or work for a Major Political Party is compulsory included.
	 Preferential voting is used in State and Federal elections in Western Australia (and in other states). 	State and Federal Elections are dominated by Major Political Parties, AND State Government and Federal Government are real government, with real boundaries, and real structures, supposedly living up to real standards. Large difference to local municipal authorities.
	 This provides voters with more choice and control over who they elect. • 	Iffy. Can the voter give a 1, 0, 0, vote or do they have to number a certain amount of candidates? Plus what is the weighting to the votes. They may feel certain
	 All other states use a form of preferential voting for local government. 	candidate are many times better than the other candidate, not merely one vote difference. So what, this is Western Australia. We do not want to do what goes on in NSW and Victoria. Where party

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
		political candidates have taken over local governments. Western Australia does not want that, and NEVER wants that.
4.4 Public Vote to Elect the Mayor and Presid	lent	
 The Act currently allows local governments to have the Presiding Member (the Mayor or President) elected either: by the electors of the district through a public vote; or by the council as a resolution at a council meeting. 	 Mayors and Presidents of all local governments perform an important public leadership role within their local communities. Band 1 and 2 local governments generally have larger councils than those in bands 3 and 4. Accordingly, it is proposed that the Mayor or President for all band 1 and 2 councils is to be elected through a vote of the electors of the district. Councils in bands 3 and 4 would retain the current system. A number of Band 1 and Band 2 councils have already moved towards Public Vote to Elect the Mayor and President in recent years, including City of Stirling and City of Rockingham. 	Yes.
4.5 Tiered Limits on the Number of Councillo	rs	
 The number of councillors (between 5-15 councillors) is decided by each local government, reviewed by the Local Government Advisory Board, and if approved by the Minister. The Panel Report recommended electoral reforms to improve representativeness. 	 It is proposed to limit the number of councillors based on the population of the entire local government. Some smaller local governments have already been moving to having smaller councils to reduce costs for ratepayers. The Local Government Panel Report proposed: For a population of up to 5,000 – five councillors (including the President) population of between 5,000 and 75,000 – five to nine councillors (including the Mayor/President) population of above 75,000 – nine to fifteen councillors (including Mayor). 	Yes. Ok with. Yes.

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
4.6 No Wards for Small Councils (Band 3 and	4 Councils only)	
 A local government can make an application to be divided into wards, with councillors elected to those wards. Only about 10% of band 3 and 4 local governments currently have wards. 	 It is proposed that the use of wards for councils in bands 3 and 4 is abolished. Wards increase the complexity of elections, as this requires multiple versions of ballot papers to be prepared for a local government's election. In smaller local governments, the population of wards can be very small. These wards often have councillors elected unopposed, or elect a councillor with a very small number of votes. Some local governments have ward councillors elected with less than 50 votes. There has been a trend in smaller local governments looking to reduce the use of wards, with only 10 councils in bands 3 and 4 still having wards. 	Ok with. Yes.
4.7 Electoral Reform – Clear Lease Requireme	ents for Candidate and Voter Eligibility	
 A person with a lease in a local government district is eligible to nominate as a candidate in that district. A person with a lease in a local government district is eligible to apply to vote in that district. The City of Perth Inquiry Report identified a number of instances where dubious lease arrangements put to question the validity of candidates in local government elections, and subsequently their legitimacy as councillors. 	 in council elections. Sham leases are where a person creates a lease only to be able to vote or run as a candidate for council. The City of Perth Inquiry Report identified sham leases as an issue. 	

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
	 The reforms would include minimum lease periods to qualify as a registered business (minimum of 12 months), and the exclusion of home based businesses (where the resident is already eligible) and very small sub-leases. The basis of eligibility for each candidate (e.g. type of property and suburb of property) is proposed to be published, including in the candidate pack for electors. 	What if the candidate owns many properties including in different suburbs? Important to say that in candidate published information BEFORE election. That includes properties across the myriad of Perth metro, regional local government boundary lines.
4.8 Reform of Candidate Profiles		
Candidate profiles can only be 800 characters, including spaces. This is equivalent to approximately 150 words.	 Further work will be undertaken to evaluate how longer candidate profiles could be accommodated. Longer candidate profiles would provide more information to electors, potentially through publishing profiles online. It is important to have sufficient information available to assist electors make informed decisions when casting their vote. 	Yes. Yes. Yes.
4.9 Minor Other Electoral Reforms		
Other minor reforms are proposed to improve local government elections.	 Minor other electoral reforms are proposed to include: The introduction of standard processes for vote recounts if there is a very small margin between candidates (e.g. where there is a margin of less than 10 votes a recount will always be required) The introduction of more specific rules concerning local government council candidates' use of electoral rolls. 	

Theme 5: Clear Roles and Responsibilities

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
5.1 Introduce Principles in the Act		
 The Act does not currently outline specific principles. The Act contains a short "Content and Intent" section only. The Panel Report recommended greater articulation of principles 	 It is proposed to include new principles in the Act, including: The recognition of Aboriginal Western Australians Tiering of local governments (with bands being as assigned by the Salaries and Allowances Tribunal) Community Engagement Financial Management. 	Yes. Yet more is needed. They need a guaranteed seat at the Table. A guaranteed reserved spot on Council membership. Yes to tiers. Cautious approach to bands assigned. Feel too many in Perth metro are unnecessarily Tier 1, yet their populations are not enough. Genuine is needed, in a reasonable way –not merely online, or brief times. Yes.
5.2 Greater Role Clarity		
 The Act provides for the role of council, councillor, mayor or president and CEO. The role of the council is to: govern the local government's affairs be responsible for the performance of the local government's functions. 	 The Local Government Act Review Panel recommended that roles and responsibilities of elected members and senior staff be better defined in law. It is proposed that these roles and responsibilities are further defined in the legislation. These proposed roles will be open to further consultation and input. These roles would be further strengthened through Council Communications Agreements (see item 5.3). 	Ok, further consult and input. But who writes it? The CEO or Governance Staff. Self-serving can creep in. For example they don't want time-hassles.

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
		Ironically they often trade off the public/community WITH the Council Members. Focus of any time and effort becomes the individual Council Members AND NOT the community.
	5.2.1 - Mayor or President Role	
	 It is proposed to amend the Act to specify the roles and responsibilities of the Mayor or President. While input and consultation will inform precise wording, it is proposed that the Act is amended to generally outline that the Mayor or President is responsible for: 	
	 Representing and speaking on behalf of the whole council and the local government, at all times being consistent with the resolutions of council 	Can they at least acknowledge if they have concerns with a particular project, plan, policy.
	0 0	Some Mayors/Presidents should not be gagged in relation to certain
	0	'resolutions of Council'. They would
	0	be ingenuine. It is additionally ok for them to footnote a personal
	0	reservation, especially if they had
	0	attempted to change/vote against
	0	the past item. All of this wouldn't
	0	happen often, at least allow the possibility for it TO KEEP THINGS
	0	REAL.
	 Facilitating the democratic decision-making of council by presiding at council meetings in accordance with the Act 	
	 Developing and maintaining professional working relationships between councillors and the CEO 	

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
	 Performing civic and ceremonial duties on behalf of the local government Working effectively with the CEO and councillors in overseeing the delivery of the services, operations, initiatives and functions of the local government. 	
	5.2.2 - Council Role	
	 It is proposed to amend the Act to specify the roles and responsibilities of the Council, which is the entity consisting of all of the councillors and led by the Mayor or President. While input and consultation will inform precise wording, it is proposed that the Act is amended to generally outline that the Council is responsible for: Making significant decisions and determining policies through democratic deliberation at council meetings Ensuring the local government is adequately resourced to deliver the local governments operations, services and functions - including all functions that support informed decision-making by council Providing a safe working environment for the CEO; Monitoring and reviewing the performance of the local government. 	Input and consultation. Yes. Currently, not many Council Members monitor and review the performance. Merely wave through Staff reports on that topic. If you can ensure the Council role of
		'monitor and review' then great, please make it happen.
	5.2.3 - Elected Member (Councillor) Role	
	• It is proposed to amend the Act to specify the roles and responsibilities of all elected councillors.	

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
	 While input and consultation will inform precise wording, it is proposed that the Act is amended to generally outline that every elected councillor is responsible for: 	Yes to input and consultation.
	 Considering and representing, fairly and without bias, the current and future interests of all people who live, work and visit the district (including for councillors elected for a particular ward) 	Yes.
	 Positively and fairly contribute and apply their knowledge, skill, and judgement to the democratic decision-making process of council 	
	 Applying relevant law and policy in contributing to the decision-making of the council 	
	 Engaging in the effective forward planning and review of the local governments' resources, and the performance of its operations, services, and functions 	If they only could.
	 Communicating the decisions and resolutions of council to stakeholders and the public Developing and maintaining professional working 	Yes. Few do.
	 relationships with all other councillors and the CEO Maintaining and developing their knowledge and skills relevant to local government 	
	 Facilitating public engagement with local government. • 	Unsure of that. Fair facilitation is needed. Not protest groups, select groups, and publicity (profile building) pieces.
	•	2021 Note: zero engagement with public on WALGA led proposal of Council Member superannuation. Self-serving by many Council
	• •	Members without the public knowing.

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
	• It is proposed that elected members should not be able to use their title (e.g. "Councillor", "Mayor", or "President") and associated resources of their office (such as email address) unless they are performing their role in their official capacity.	Had assumed that was the industry/community standard.
	 5.2.4 - CEO Role The Local Government Act 1995 requires local governments to employ a CEO to run the local government administration and implement the decisions of council. To provide greater clarity, it is proposed to amend the Act to specify the roles and responsibilities of all local government CEOs. While input and consultation will inform precise wording, it is proposed that the Act is amended to generally outline that the CEO of a local government is responsible for: Coordinating the professional advice and assistance necessary for all elected members to enable the council to perform its decision-making functions Facilitating the implementation of council decisions Ensuring functions and decisions lawfully delegated by council are managed prudently on behalf of the council Managing the effective delivery of the services, operations, initiatives and functions of the local government determined by the council Providing timely and accurate information and advice to all councillors in line with the Council Communications Agreement (see item 5.3) Overseeing the compliance of the operations of the local government with State and Federal legislation on behalf of the council 	Provide timely and accurate information to the public, attentive public, interested public. Include that.

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS	
	 Implementing and maintaining systems to enable effective planning, management, and reporting on behalf of the council. 	Add responsible for: honest flow of information to/from the public/community. (Not to bypass the public to those unrepresentative on Council.)	
5.3 Council Communication Agreements			
 The Act provides that council and committee members can have access to any information held by the local government that is relevant to the performance of the member in their functions. The availability of information is sometimes a source of conflict within local governments. 	 In State Government, there are written Communication Agreements between Ministers and agencies that set standards for how information and advice will be provided. It is proposed that local governments will need to have Council Communications Agreements between the council and the CEO. These Council Communication Agreements would clearly specify the information that is to be provided to councillors, how it will be provided, and the timeframes for when it will be provided. A template would be published by DLGSC. This default template will come into force if a council and CEO do not make a specific other agreement within a certain timeframe following any election. 	Ok with your template.	
5.4 Local Governments May Pay Superannua	5.4 Local Governments May Pay Superannuation Contributions for Elected Members		
 Elected members are eligible to receive sitting fees or an annual allowance. Superannuation is not paid to elected members. However, councillors can currently divert part of their allowances to a superannuation fund. 	 It is proposed that local governments should be able to decide, through a vote of council, to pay superannuation contributions for elected members. These contributions would be additional to existing allowances. • 	No. Self-serving. Plus rude to sneak it through in the midst of a pandemic. It was a WALGA initiated idea, that local government staff were happy	

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
Councils should be reflective and representative of the people living within the	•	to shove through to please their select Council Members.
district. Local governments should be empowered to remove any barriers to the participation of gender and age diverse people on councils.	•	Furthermore, we already have Council Members all over Perth signing up for committees to get
	 Superannuation is widely recognised as an important 	extra allowances. Now you want to give them more money. No!
	 Superalinuation is widely recognised as an important entitlement to provide long term financial security. • 	'Long-term financial security' why for them? Career Council Members, that is what it will lead to. How does that encourage sharing in the
	• • •	community? It doesn't. Entrenching persons as Council Members for a long time is not helpful for Western Australia.
	 Other states have already moved to allow councils to make superannuation contributions for councillors. 	No. NSW etc are Party-Political. Western Australia does not want that here.
	 Allowing council to provide superannuation is important part of encouraging equality for people represented on 	Subjective, not sure it encourages equality. Crap reason.
	 council – particularly for women and younger people. 	Yet it does get difficult for anyone who is not 'retired' or
	•	'married/defacto relationship' to put certain amounts of time in. It is easier if you are retired to have the
	•	free time to attend Council Officer any day of the week. It is easier if
	• • •	you have someone else to do due duties for you to save you private- life time while you serve on Council.
	•	

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
	•	There are older Australians who are not retired, and single.
	 Providing superannuation to councillors recognises that the commitment to elected office can reduce a person's opportunity to undertake employment and earn superannuation contributions. 	No. Let us get real, a Council Member role is not a 5 day a week job. The general public has reasonable expectations of their time. An Agenda Briefing Meeting, an Ordinary Council Meeting, and an information meeting per month.
		Plenty of examples in the Perth metro area past decade of dual employed by being on Council plus State/Federal Member Parliament offices. Easy avenues there. Job hog–Two plum roles.
		Let's get fair, share the wealth, share the opportunities.
5.5 Local Governments May Establish Educat	tion Allowances	
 Local government elected members must complete mandatory training. There is no specific allowance for undertaking further education. 	 Local governments will have the option of contributing to the education expenses for councillors, up to a defined maximum value, for tuition costs for further education that is directly related to their role on council. Councils will be able to decide on a policy for education 	Publish any courses and costs they do or have already done. Failure by some local governments already.
	expenses, up to a maximum yearly value for each councillor. Councils may also decide not to make this entitlement available to elected members.	
	 Any allowance would only be able to be used for tuition fees for courses, such as training programs, diplomas, and university studies, which relate to local government. 	Question. How long do you expect length of tenure for Council Members? I worry you are designing all this for two decades of service.

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
	 Where it is made available, this allowance will help councillors further develop skills to assist with making informed decisions on important questions before council, and also provide professional development opportunities for councillors. 	up for State/Federal Member of
		Need to be limits. Public rarely is consulted by Local Government, then see the Agenda Item on this subject. Shows you who decides.
5.6 Standardised Election Caretaker period		
 There is currently no requirement for a formal caretaker period, with individual councils operating under their own policies and procedures. This is commonly a point of public confusion. 	proposed.	Careful criteria. Do not include 'everything'. Caretaker period should be September–November of an October Election year.
	 Incumbent councillors who nominate for re-election are not to represent the local government, act on behalf of the council, or use local government resources to support campaigning activities. There are consistent election conduct rules for all candidates. 	Ok.
5.7 Remove WALGA from the Act		1

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
 The Western Australian Local Government Association (WALGA) is constituted under the <i>Local Government Act 1995.</i> The Local Government Panel Report and the Select Committee Report included this recommendation. 	WALGA not be constituted under the Local Government Act 1995.	Yes.
5.8 CEO Recruitment		
Recent amendments introduced provisions to standardise CEO recruitment.	 It is proposed that DLGSC establishes a panel of approved panel members to perform the role of the independent person on CEO recruitment panels. 	Yes.
 The recruitment of a CEO is a very important decision by a local government. 	 Councils will be able to select an independent person from the approved list. Councils will still be able to appoint people outside of the 	Yes.
	panel with the approval of the Inspector.	Yes.

Theme 6: Improved Financial Management and Reporting

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS	
6.1 Model Financial Statements and Tiered Fi	5.1 Model Financial Statements and Tiered Financial Reporting		
 The financial statements published in the Annual Report is the main financial reporting currently published by local governments. Reporting obligations are the same for large (Stirling, Perth, Fremantle) and small (Sandstone, Wiluna, Dalwallinu) local governments, even though they vary significantly in complexity. The Office of the Auditor General has said that some existing reporting requirements are unnecessary or onerous - for instance, information that is not relevant to certain local governments, or that is a duplicate of other published information. 	 accountability in local government. The public rightly expects the highest standards of integrity, good governance, and prudent financial management in local government. It is critically important that clear information about the financial position of local governments is openly available to ratepayers. Financial information also supports community decision-making about local government services and projects. Local governments differ significantly in the complexity of their operations. Smaller local governments generally have much less operating complexity than larger local governments. The Office of the Auditor General has identified 	Yes.	
	 opportunities to improve financial reporting, to make statements clearer, and reduce unnecessary complexity. Recognising the difference in the complexity of smaller and larger local governments, it is proposed that financial reporting requirements should be tiered – meaning that larger local governments will have greater financial reporting requirements than smaller local governments. It is proposed to establish standard templates for Annual Financial Statements for band 1 and 2 councils, and simpler, clearer financial statements for band 3 and 4. Online Registers, updated quarterly (see item 3.4), would provide faster and greater transparency than current annual reports. Standard templates will be published for use by local governments. 	Yes. Yes. But don't delete past updates.	

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
	• Simpler Strategic and Financial Planning (item 6.2) would also improve the budgeting process.	
6.2 Simplify Strategic and Financial Planning		
 Requirements for plans are outlined in the Local Government Financial Management and Administration Regulations. There is also the Integrated Planning and Reporting (IPR) framework. While many councils successfully apply IPR to their budgeting and reporting, IPR may seem complicated or difficult, especially for smaller local governments. 	 Having clear information about the finances of local government is an important part of enabling informed public and ratepayer engagement and input to decision-making. The framework for financial planning should be based around information being clear, transparent, and easy to understand for all ratepayers and members of the public. In order to provide more consistency and clarity across the State, it is proposed that greater use of templates is introduced to make planning and reporting clearer and simpler, providing greater transparency for ratepayers. Local governments would be required to adopt a standard set of plans, and there will be templates published by the DLGSC for use or adaption by local governments. It is proposed that the plans that are required are: Simplified Council Plans that replace existing Strategic Community Plans and set high-level objectives, with a new plan required at least every eight years. These will be short-form plans, with a template available from the DLGSC Simplified Asset Management Plans to consistently forecast costs of maintaining the local government's assets. A new plan will be required at least every ten years, though local government should update the plan regularly if the local government gains or disposes of major assets (e.g. land, buildings, or roads). A template will be provided, and methods of valuations will be simplified Long Term Financial Plans will outline any long term financial management and sustainability 	Yes. Possible. Ok.

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
	 issues, and any investments and debts. A template will be provided, and these plans will be required to be reviewed in detail at least every four years A new Rates and Revenue Policy (see item 6.3) that identifies the approximate value of rates the trail. 	Yes.
	 identifies the approximate value of rates that will need to be collected in future years (referencing the Asset Management Plan and Long Term Financial Plan) – providing a forecast to ratepayers (updated at least every four years) The use of simple, one-page Service Proposals and Project Proposals that outline what proposed services 	Yes.
	or initiatives will cost, to be made available through council meetings. These will become Service Plans and Project Plans added to the yearly budget if approved by council. This provides clear transparency for what the functions and initiatives of the local government cost to deliver. Templates will be available for use by local governments.	Yes.
6.3 Rates and Revenue Policy		
 Local governments are not required to have a rates and revenue policy. Some councils defer rate rises, resulting in the eventual need to drastically raise rates 	 The Rates and Revenue Policy is proposed to increase transparency for ratepayers by linking rates to basic operating costs and the minimum costs for maintaining essential infrastructure. 	Yes.
to cover unavoidable costs – especially for the repair of infrastructure.	 A Rates and Revenue Policy would be required to provide ratepayers with a forecast of future costs of providing local government services. 	Yes.
	 The Policy would need to reflect the Asset Management Plan and the Long Term Financial Plan (see item 6.2), providing a forecast of what rates would need to be, to cover unavoidable costs. 	Yes.
	 A template would be published for use or adaption by all local governments. 	Yes.

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
	• The <u>Local Government Panel Report</u> included this recommendation.	
6.4 Monthly Reporting of Credit Card Stateme	ents	
 No legislative requirement. Disclosure requirements brought in by individual councils have shown significant reduction of expenditure of funds. 	 The statements of a local government's credit cards used by local government employees will be required to be tabled at council at meetings on a monthly basis. This provides oversight of incidental local government spending. 	
6.5 Amended Financial Ratios		
 Local governments are required to report seven ratios in their annual financial statements. These are reported on the MyCouncil website. These ratios are intended to provide an indication of the financial health of every local government. 	 Financial ratios will be reviewed in detail, building on work already underway by the DLGSC. The methods of calculating ratios and indicators will be reviewed to ensure that the results are accurate and useful. 	Yes. Yes.
6.6 Audit Committees		
 Local governments must establish an Audit Committee that has three or more persons, with the majority to be council members. The Audit Committee is to guide and assist the local government in carrying out the local government's functions in relation to audits conducted under the Act. The Panel Report identified that Audit Committees should be expanded, including to provide improved risk management. 	 To ensure independent oversight, it is proposed the Chair of any Audit Committee be required to be an independent person who is not on council or an employee of the local government. Audit Committees would also need to consider proactive risk management. To reduce costs, it is proposed that local governments should be able to establish shared Regional Audit Committees. The Committees would be able to include council members but would be required to include a majority of independent members and an independent chairperson. 	Possible. If they are reasonable. Yes. Yes.

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
6.7 Building Upgrade Finance		
 The local government sector has sought reforms that would enable local governments to provide loans to property owners to finance for building improvements. This is not currently provided for under the Act. The Local Government Panel Report included this recommendation. 	 third parties for specific building improvements - such as cladding, heritage and green energy fixtures. This would allow local governments to lend funds to improve buildings within their district. 	
6.8 Cost of Waste Service to be Specified on	Rates Notices	
 No requirement for separation of waste changes on rates notice. Disclosure will increase ratepayer awareness of waste costs. The Review Panel Report included this recommendation. 	 It is proposed that waste charges are required to be separately shown on rate notices (for all properties which receive a waste service). This would provide transparency and awareness of costs for ratepayers. 	